Photo-induced electron-transfer reaction of aryl perfluoroalkanesulfonates with anilines

Qing-Yun Chen* and Zhan-Ting Li

Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Academia Sinica, 345 Lingling Lu, Shanghai 200032 (China)

(Received November 10, 1992; accepted March 9, 1993)

Abstract

Irradiation of mixtures of aryl perfluoroalkanesulfonates ($R_1SO_3C_6H_4X$ -p, X=H, Cl and Me) (1) and aniline (2a), N, N-dimethylaniline (2b) or N, N-dimethylaniline (2c) results in the formation of 2-coupling products 3 and 4-coupling products 4. However, in the reactions of 2b and 2c, in addition to 3 and 4, arenes 5 and N-methylaniline (6a) or N-ethylaniline (6b) are also detected. The presence of p-dinitrobenzene, Bu¹₂NO and hydroquinone suppresses the reaction significantly. A photo-induced electron-transfer mechanism is proposed.

Introduction

It has been reported [1] that many aromatic compounds undergo electron-transfer reactions as acceptors, and of these aryl halides have been studied most widely. Although aryl diethyl phosphates afforded anilines upon treatment with potassium amide via an electron-transfer mechanism [2], a second important class of phenol derivatives, i.e. aryl perfluoroalkanesulfonates, were incapable of undergoing similar reactions because of the occurrence of a facile nucleophilic substitution reaction resulting in ready S-O bond cleavage [3]. Previously, the palladium-catalyzed coupling of aryl perfluoroalkanesulfonates (1) with alkynes, alkenes, organometallic reagents or other reagents such as carbon monoxide/alkanols was the only method reported as resulting in C-O bond cleavage in these compounds [4]. Aryl perfluoroalkanesulfonates (1) are readily prepared from perfluoroalkanesulfonyl fluorides and phenols [3], and it was considered desirable to investigate other simple approaches to the dehydroxylation of phenols. In this paper, we describe the results obtained from photochemical reactions of 1 with anilines.

Experimental

All melting points are uncorrected. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on an XL-200 instrument (200 MHz) using TMS as an external standard. ¹⁹F NMR spectra were undertaken on a Varian EM-360L model instrument (60 MHz) using CF₃COOH as an external standard. Mass spectra were recorded on a Finnigan-4401 instrument and IR spectra (KBr pellets) were obtained on a Shimadzu-440 model spectrometer. Gas-liquid chromatography was undertaken on a Shanghai-107 model instrument using a TQ column (2 m×3 mm). All reagents were purified before use. Silica gel (10– 40 μ) was used for column chromatography.

Typical procedure

Under a nitrogen atmosphere, a stirred mixture of **2b** (12.1 g, 100 mmol) and **1b** (3.74 g, 10 mmol) contained in a Pyrex flask connected to a cold trap (-78 °C)was irradiated with a medium-pressure mercury lamp (450 W) at a distance of 8 cm for 21 h. Benzene (0.19 g, 22%) was obtained in the cold trap. The mixture in the flask was washed with aqueous NaHCO₃ solution (10%) (3×5 ml), dried (MgSO₄) and distilled under reduced pressure. GLC analyses indicated that c. 18%(based on 1b) of N-methylaniline (6a) remained in the distillate. The residue was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel using ether petroleum ether (1:5) as eluent to give bis (p-dimethylaminophenyl)methane (8) (0.51 g, 20%), 2-dimethylaminobiphenyl (3b) (0.63 g, 32%) and 4-dimethylaminobiphenyl (4b) (0.43 g, 22%).

Compound 8: M.p. 89–90 °C (lit. value [5] 91 °C). IR ν_{max} (cm⁻¹): 2860; 1605; 1560; 1510; 1440; 1335; 1220; 1155; 1060; 890; 825. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) & 2.78 (12H, s, Me–H); 3.72 (2H, s, CH₂–H); 6.57 (4H, d, J=9.0 Hz); 6.98 (4H, d, J=9.0 Hz) ppm. MS m/z(%): 255 (M⁺ + 1, 19); 254 (M⁺, 80); 253 (M⁺ – H, 100); 237 (M⁺ – CH₅, 17); 210 (25).

^{*}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

^{0022-1139/94/\$07.00 © 1994} Elsevier Sequoia. All rights reserved SSDI 0022-1139(93)02901-P

Compound **3b**: Oil [6]. IR ν_{max} (cm⁻¹): 2960; 1500; 1450; 1380; 1200; 1145; 960; 760. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 2.63 (6H, s, Me-H); 6.42–7.12 (4H, m); 7.34 (5H, s) ppm. MS m/z(%): 198 (M⁺ +1, 100); 197 (M⁺, 46); 196 (32); 181(24); 152(21); 99(10); 76(12).

Compound **4b**: M.p. 119–121 °C (lit. value [7] 120 °C). IR ν_{max} (cm⁻¹): 2960; 1615; 1530; 1450; 1205; 1040; 780. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 2.52 (6H, s, Me–H); 6.85 (2H, d, J=9.0 Hz); 7.26 (2H, d, J=9.0 Hz); 7.40 (5H, s) ppm. MS m/z(%): 198 (M⁺ + 1, 18); 197 (M⁺, 100); 196 (83); 181 (17); 153 (15); 98 (25); 76 (11).

2-Aminobiphenyl (**3a**): M.p. 53–55 °C (lit. value [8] 51–53 °C). IR ν_{max} (cm⁻¹): 3545; 3500 (NH₂); 1615; 1350; 1105; 1065; 970; 860. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 3.14 (2H, s, NH₂–H); 6.60–7.15 (4H, m); 7.37 (5H, s) ppm. MS m/z(%): 170 (M⁺ + 1, 25); 169 (M⁺, 100); 168 (82); 120 (17); 77 (7).

4-Aminobiphenyl (4a): M.p. 52 °C (lit. value [8] 53–54 °C). IR ν_{max} (cm⁻¹): 3500; 3450 (NH₂); 1610; 1245; 1180; 1050; 965. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 3.12 (2H, s, NH₂--H); 6.85 (2H, d, J=6.5 Hz); 7.15 (2H, d, J=6.5 Hz); 7.34 (5H, s) ppm. MS m/z(%): 170 (M⁺ + 1, 24); 169 (M⁺, 100); 168 (48); 120 (18); 83 (24).

2-Diethylaminobiphenyl (**3c**): Oil [9]. IR ν_{max} (cm⁻¹): 2960; 2900; 1610; 1600; 1500; 1450; 1395; 1355; 1265; 1140; 1070; 1005; 965; 815. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 1.34 (6H, t, *J*=6.0 Hz); 2.45 (4H, q, *J*=6.0 Hz); 6.65–7.25 (4H, m); 7.43 (5H, s) ppm. MS *m*/*z*(%): 226 (M⁺+1, 81); 225 (M⁺, 21); 211 (70); 210 (21); 181 (ArN=CH₂⁺, 100); 154 (23); 106 (37).

4-Diethylaminobiphenyl (4c): M.p. 135–137 °C (no m.p. quoted in lit. [10]). IR ν_{max} (cm⁻¹): 2955; 1605; 1600; 1495; 1390; 1345; 1265; 1145; 1075; 975; 825. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 1.34 (6H, t, J=6.0 Hz, Me–H); 2.41 (4H, q, J=6.0 Hz, CH₂–H); 6.63 (2H, d, J=8.5 Hz); 7.30 (2H, d, J=8.5 Hz); 7.45 (5H, s) ppm. MS m/z(%): 226 (M⁺ + 1, 100); 225 M⁺, 43); 196 (21), 77 (9).

2-Dimethylamino-4'-chlorobiphenyl (**3d**): M.p. 67–69 °C. Analysis: Calc. for C₁₄H₁₄NCl: C, 72.55; H, 6.10; N, 6.05; Cl, 15.30%. Found: C, 71.99; H, 5.62; N, 5.87; Cl, 15.52%. IR ν_{max} (cm⁻¹) 2955; 1605; 1545; 1385; 1200; 1095; 945; 875. ¹H NMR (acetone- d_6) δ : 3.02 (6H, s, Me–H); 6.72–7.12 (4H, m); 7.43 (2H, d, J=8.5 Hz); 7.55 (2H, d, J=8.5 Hz) ppm. MS m/z(%): 234 (M⁺+1, ³⁷Cl, 5); 233 (M⁺, ³⁷Cl, 38); 232 (M⁺ – H, ³⁵Cl, 41); 231 (M⁺, ³⁵Cl, 100); 108 (21); 76 (11); 57 (44); 43 (68).

4-Dimethylamino-4'-chlorobiphenyl (4d): M.p. 189–191 °C (lit. value [11] 192 °C). IR ν_{max} (cm⁻¹): 2960; 1455; 1285; 1105; 960; 785. ¹H NMR (acetone d_6) & 3.00 (6H, s); 6.86 (2H, d, J=8.5 Hz); 7.42 (2H, d, J=8.5 Hz); 7.55 (2H, d, J=8.0 Hz); 7.63 (2H, d, J=8.0 Hz) ppm. MS m/z(%): 234 (M⁺1, ³⁷Cl, 5); 233 (M⁺, ³⁷Cl, 33); 232 (M⁺, ³⁵Cl, 38); 231 (M⁺); ³⁵Cl, 38); 231 (M⁺, ³⁵Cl, 38); 231 (M⁺); ³⁵Cl, 38); 231 (M⁺); ³⁵Cl, 38); ³⁵Cl, ³⁵Cl 100); 230 (79); 215 (13); 152 (25); 116 (32); 107 (25); 76 (16).

2-Dimethylamino-4'-methylbiphenyl (**3e**): Oil. Analysis: Calc. for C₁₅H₁₇N: C, 85.25; H, 8.12; N, 6.62%. Found: C, 85.20; H, 7.96; N, 6.43%. IR ν_{max} (cm⁻¹): 2820; 1610; 1500; 1440; 1420; 1330; 1290; 1130; 1010; 945; 880; 820. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 2.45 (6H, s, NMe₂-H); 2.76 (3H, s, ArMe-H); 6.65–7.13 (6H, m); 7.42 (2H, d, J = 8.5 Hz) ppm. MS m/z(%): 212 (M⁺ + 1, 23); 211 (M⁺, 100); 210 (93); 197 (19); 196 (19); 152 (13).

4-Dimethylamino-4'-methylbiphenyl (4e): M.p. 120–122 °C. Analysis: Calc. for $C_{15}H_{17}N$: C, 85.25; H, 8.12; N, 6.62%. Found: C, 85.42; H, 8.06; N, 6.23%. IR ν_{max} (cm⁻¹): 2820; 1605; 1505; 1460; 1330; 1290; 1240; 1020; 985; 895; 875. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 2.34 (3H, s, ArMe-H); 2.62 (6H, s, NMe₂-H); 6.63 (2H, d, J=8.0 Hz); 7.05 (2H, d, J=7.0 Hz); 7.24 (2H, d, J=8.0 Hz); 7.40 (2H, d, J=7.0 Hz) ppm. MS *m/z*(%): 212 (M⁺ + 1, 33); 211 (M⁺, 100); 210 (82); 196 (11); 152 (12).

Results and discussion

Treatment of 1 with anilines 2 under UV irradiation for 14–24 h gave the corresponding aminobiphenyls (3, 4) and arenes (5) in good yield (Scheme 1).

The reaction between 1 and 2 (molar ratio, 1:10) proceeded in the absence of solvents at a reaction temperature of c. 80 °C as a result of irradiation, which is essential for the reaction. Representative results of the reaction are listed in Table 1. It can be seen from the table that varying the R_t group in 1 has little effect on the product yield.

Only the 2- and 4-aminobiphenyl derivatives were formed as coupling products; the 3-amino isomers were not detected. The reactions involving **2b** and **2c** gave

OSO ₂ R,	$\bigcup_{i=1}^{NR_2} \frac{h\nu}{-\frac{h\nu}{14-24h}}$		0 + +	× 		⊢ R _f SO ₃ H
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
1a: $R_f = 1$ 1b: $R_f = 1$ 1c: $R_f = 1$ 1d: $R_f = 1$ 1d: $R_f = 1$ 1f: $R_f = 1$ 2a: $R = 1$ 2b: $R = 1$ 2c: $R = F$	$CF_{3}, X = H$ $H(CF_{2})_{2}O(CF$ $H(CF_{2})_{6}O(CF)$ $CF_{3}, X = CI$ $H(CF_{2})_{2}O(CF)$ $H(CF_{2})_{2}O(CF)$ $H(CF_{2})_{2}O(CF)$ H Me Et	$(x_{2})_{2}, X = H$ $(x_{2})_{2}, X = H$ $(x_{2})_{2}, X = Cl$ $(x_{2})_{2}, X = Me$	3a and 3b and 3c and 3d and 3e and 5a: X 5b: X 5c: X 5c: X 6a: R 6b: R	d 4a: X d 4b: X d 4c: X d 4d: X d 4d: X = H = Cl = Me = Me = Et	E = H, R = H, R = H, R = Cl, H = R = N	a = H a = Me = Et R = Me Me

Scheme 1.

TABLE 1. Photo-induced reactions of phenyl perfluoroalkanesulfonates (1) with anilines (2) (1/2 = 1:10)

Entry No.	1	2	Time (h)	Conversion ^a (%)	Product (%)			
					3 ^b	4 ⁶	5°	6 ^c
1	1a	2a	18	68	3a (42)	4a (22)	_	
2	1b	2a	20	70	3a (40)	4a(25)		
3	1c	2a	24	72	3a(41)	4a(23)	-	
4	1a	2b	20	85	3b(34)	4b (21)	5a(24)	6a (25)
5	1b	2b	21	84	3b (32)	4b (20)	5a(22)	6a (22)
6 ^d	1b	2b	21	52	3b (24)	4b (14)	5a(12)	6a (10)
7°	1b	2b	21	45	3b (21)	4b (10)	5a(12)	6a (12)
8 ^f	1b	2ь	21	42	3b (21)	4b (10)	5a(10)	6a(9)
9 ⁸	1b	2b	20	42	3b (20)	4b (11)	5a(10)	6a (11)
10	1a	2c	18	78	3c(30)	4c(21)	5a(17)	6b (18)
11	1b	2c	20	75	3c(38)	4c (20)	5a(14)	6b (15)
12	1d	2b	14	82	3d(34)	4d (24)	5b(18)	6a (18)
13	1e	2ь	18	84	3d(32)	4d (22)	5b(11)	6a(10)
14	lf	2b	20	64	3e(22)	4e (17)	5c(20)	6a (22)
15	lg	2ь	24	54			5d (50)	6a (48)

^aDetermined by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy.

^bIsolated yields based on 1.

^cDetermined by GLC methods.

^d20 mol% of *p*-DNB added.

^e20 mol% of Bu₂^tNO added.

^f20 mol% of HQ added.

^gThe reaction proceeded in MeCN (1b/2b = 1:3).

$$(1g) \qquad (5d) \qquad (5d) \qquad (5d) \qquad (5d)$$



mixtures of arenes 5 and N-alkylanilines (6a or 6b), respectively. Unexpectedly, compound 8 (c. 20%) was also obtained from the reaction involving 2b.



However, analogous products were not produced in the reaction of 1 with 2a or 2c. Treatment of 1-naphthyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (1 g) with 2b under similar conditions gave naphthane (5d) (50%), together with 6a (48%) and 8 (18%). No coupling products corresponding to 3 and 4 were obtained (Scheme 2).

It has been reported that methyl benzenesulfonate does not undergo C-O bond cleavage when irradiated in methanol [12]. Thus, it seems reasonable to infer that UV irradiation does not directly initiate C-O bond cleavage in 1. Since electron scavengers such as *p*-dinitrobenzene (DNB) and Bu₂NO and the freeradical inhibitor hydroquinone (HQ) suppress the reaction significantly (see Table 1), the latter may involve an electron-transfer mechanism, i.e. 1 accepting an electron from 2 to generate the radical anion 1^{--} and cation 2^{++} . The radical anion 1^{--} then decomposes to yield an aryl radical which either combines with 2^{++} to give the coupled products 3 and 4 or abstracts hydrogen from the α -carbon of an R group giving arenes 5 and anilines 6 (Scheme 3). The formation of 8 may take place in a similar manner to that reported [13, 14] as shown in Scheme 3 (using the reaction of 1a with 2b as an example).

It has also been reported that the amine 6a is produced in the photo-induced reaction of 2b with aryl halides, i.e. the aryl radicals formed do not attack the aromatic ring but only abstract hydrogen from a methyl group of 2b [14, 15]. In the present work using 2b and 2c, both phenylation and hydrogen abstraction by the intermediate phenyl radicals occurred. However, in the reaction of 2a with 1, the phenyl radical only attacked the benzene ring of the radical cation $2a^{++}$ resulting in the formation of 3a and 4a. The reaction of the naphthyl derivative 1g with 2b did not give the cor-





responding coupling products, probably because of the greater steric hindrance of the naphthyl radical.

Acknowledgement

We thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China for support of this work.

References

- R.A. Rossi and R.H. Rossi, Aromatic Substitution by the S_{RN}I Mechanism, ACS Monograph No. 178, Am. Chem. Soc., Washington, DC, 1979.
- 2 R.A. Rossi and J.F. Bunnett, J. Org. Chem., 37 (1972) 3570.
- 3 Q.-Y. Chen and S.-Z. Zhu, Acta Chim. Sinica, 40 (1982) 670.
- 4 (a) W.-Y. Huang and Q.-Y. Chen, in S. Patai and Z. Rappoport (eds.), The Chemistry of Sulphonic Acids, Esters, and their Derivatives, Wiley, New York, 1991, p. 917; (b) S. Cacchi, P.S. Ciattini, E. Moera and G. Ortar, Tetrahedron Lett., 27

(1986) 3931; (c) R.E. Dolle, S.J. Schmidt and L.I. Kruse, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1989) 904.

- 5 A. Mangini and R. Passerini, J. Chem. Soc., (1956) 4954.
- 6 H. Booth, F. E. King and J. Parrick, J. Chem. Soc., (1958) 2308.
- 7 K.B. Everard, L. Kumar and L.E. Sutton, J. Chem. Soc., (1951) 2807.
- 8 R.C. Weast (ed.), Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 65th edn., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1984, p. C168.
- 9 A.R. Lepley, A.G. Giumanini, A.B. Giumanini and W.A. Khan, J. Org. Chem., 31 (1966) 2053.
- 10 L.J.E. Hofer, W.C. Peebles and E.H. Bean, US Bur. Mines, Bull., No. 613 (1963); [Chem. Abs., 60 (1964) 11 441f].
- 11 F. Minisci and M. Cecere, Chim. Ind. (Milan), 49 (1967) 1333.
- (a) A. Abad, D. Mellier and C. Portella, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, (1971) 4555; (b) J.P. Pete and C. Pertella, *Bull. Soc. Chim.* Fr., (1980) 275.
- 13 Y. Bi and D.C. Neckers, Tetrahedron Lett., 33 (1992) 1139.
- 14 M. Grodowski and T. Latowski, Tetrahedron, 30 (1974) 767.
- (a) N.J. Bunce, J. Org. Chem., 47 (1982) 1948; (b) C. Pac,
 T. Tosa and H. Sakurai, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 45 (1972) 1169.